Testing — Simple Case Studies

1. Facebook Advertising (ads and click through)

The two ads

Advert Preview	💉 Edit	Target	ing	🥒 Ed			
Small Business Marketing small-business-marketing-tips.com Scow Your Business FAST, from Ireland's leading direct response marketing expert.			 who live in relation between the ages of 18 and 0 inclusive who are not already connected to Direct Response Marketing with Jon McCulloch 				
View on site · Create a similar advert		Busi	ness Owner	.35-0.84 EUR			
Direct Response Marketing	00 🔻	0	0.0	0			
Advert Preview	🖋 Edit	Target	ing	🖋 Ed			
Small Business Marketing small-business-marketing-tips.com Grow Your Business FAST, from Ireland's leading direct response marketing expert. View on site · Create a similar advert			are not alro irect Respo Jon McCull	nd es of 18 and 60 eady connected nse Marketing			

The only difference is the image.

The results



Scenario 1: 95%.1% confident the first as was a winner. Scenario 2: 75.3% confident the first ad was a winner.

What this means

Last 7 days 🔻	All except de	eleted 🔻 Sele	ct rows to edit	Full repo	rt	
Campaign reach?		•	•			Spent?
58,043	22.7	0	0	502	0.038%	€319.89

502 clicks at €319.89 is 62.8 cents per click (about 53 pence).

This translates to 70.78 leads at €4.52 per lead (see below).

Q: Is that good or is that bad?

A: It *always* depends.

Example: if I have a downloadable product selling at €37 and it costs me €5 in processing fees then I have to get a conversion to sale rate of 15% to cover my costs:

100 leads costs me €452.

At €32 profit times 15 is €480.

This is simplistic and doesn't take into account LTCV)

Is 15% doable?

Definitely. My last promotion for my wife converted at 37%.

What testing showed me

In a previous test (not shown) I sent traffic to a Facebook Fan page.

The result was a cost per lead of €21. Much too high given the numbers I'm aiming at.

2. A weber optins

The landing pages

Two versions of the page, split tested with Google Website Optimizer:



Here's what the Optimizer is telling me:

Ist. comv. rate Original Combination 1 16.62%	*				
Combinations (2) Page Sections (1)		Down	nload: 🔂 <u>PDF</u>	홈 XML I CSV	TSV C Print
Combination	Status ?	Est. conv. rate 🥑	Chance to Beat Orig. ?	Observed Improvement ?	Conv./Visitors ?
Original	Enabled	11.4% ± 1.3%	_	-	134 / 1179
Collecting data. No high-confidence winner found yet. Learn more					
Combination 1 - Long copy	Enabled	11.3% ± 1.3% -	46.6%	-0.99%	132 / 1173
			Show rows:	15 🔹 144 4	1 to 1 of 1 🕨 👀



The important thing to note is the disparity at the beginning.

It's important to leave tests running until you have statistically significant results.

Chance to Beat Orig. ?	Observed Improvement (?)	Conv./Visitors 🦻			
_	—	134 / 1179			
46.6%	-0.99%	132 / 1173			
Show rows: 15 🔹 📢 🖣 1 to 1 of 1 🕨 🗰					

Got an evens chance of beating the original.

The Numbers (from Aweber)

Name	Туре	Displays	Submissions	S/D	Unique Displays	S/UD
52 ideas page 1	inline	329	58	17.6%	221	26.2%
FB 52 ideas page 2	inline	1584	207	13.1%	1470	14.1%
FB 52 ideas page v2 3) inline	993	64	6.4%	984	6.5%

- 1. Is from article marketing traffic and some SEO. Very highly qualified and a very high optin rate (some other pages I have convert at 67%).
- 2. Is from the ads we've just seen with an Irish audience.
- 3. Is from the same ads but with a UK audience.

Lesson: you MUST test for every scenario! What works best in one place may not work well in another!

3. Email marketing

Subject lines

Sent Date	Subject	Segment	Туре	Spam?	Sent	Bounces	Complaints	Opens	Clicks
03/12/11 07:13 AM	How John got me to agree to perm	Split Test 03/12/11 06:57 AM Group 1 50%	Text/HTML	<u>0</u>	2,010	3 (0.1%)	<u>0.05 %</u>	<u>1,007</u> (50.1%)	<u>63</u> (3.1%)
03/12/11 07:13 AM	[] How John got	Split Test 03/12/11 06:57 AM Group 2 50%	Text/HTML	<u>0</u>	2,004	5 (0.2%)	<u>0.10 %</u>	<u>898</u> (44.8%)	<u>55</u> (2.7%)

Sent Broad	casts								
Sent Date	Subject	Segment	Туре	Spam?	Sent	Bounces	Complaints	Opens	Clicks
03/14/11 05:29 AM	The Big Secret to a Top Banana ma	03/14/11 05:23 AM Group 1 50%	Text/HTML	<u>0</u>	239	1 (0.4%)	<u>0.00 %</u>	<u>65</u> (27.2%)	<u>0</u>
03/14/11 05:29 AM	[Jon McCulloch] The Big Secret to a	Split Test 03/14/11 05:23 AM Group 2 50%	Text/HTML	<u>0</u>	239	0	<u>0.00 %</u>	<u>78</u> (32.6%)	<u>0</u>

The only difference in these two split tests was including the name of the list in brackets at the beginning of the Subject line.

In one case it increased opens (with 99.96% confidence), in the other, it didn't (less than 10% confidence though because of the small sample size).

Again the Lesson: you MUST test for every scenario! What works best in one place may not work well in another!

You can (and should) split test clicks and sales from your emails, too.

In a recent promotion to a sub-list of 537 we got the following:

Opens	Clicks
<u>339</u> (68.6%)	<u>68</u> (13.8%)
<u>340</u> (72.2%)	<u>35</u> (7.4%)
<u>313</u> (74.0%)	-
<u>291</u> (71.7%)	-
<u>224</u> (55.7%)	-
<u>197</u> (50.8%)	-
<u>289</u> (82.3%)	-

All in all it converted to 200 sales, a conversion rate of 37% (with a 223-word sales letter)

We were able to achieve this because of TESTING – knowing with high confidence how our audience will respond to certain subject lines and body content.